

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,522	03/09/2004	Richard Hung	12258-US-PA 2521	
31561 7590 06/01/2007 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			EXAMINER	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			SAJOUS, WESNER	
	ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,522	HUNG, RICHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sajous Wesner	2628			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	/ 10 055 TO EVENE - MONTH	O) OF THEFTY (00) PAYO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .				
,—					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/708,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a first office action on the merit. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for rendering five operations in repeated sequential fashion (see paragraph 13 of the disclosure), does not reasonably provide enablement for rendering six operations in repeated sequential fashion (as proposed in independent claims 1 and 4). In addition, the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for "when the 2-bits LSBs being 10, a second operation is rendered ... to the lower rowtemptemp and upper row" as recited in claim 4, nor does the specification considers the implementation of the 3D dither algorithm when the 2-bit LSBs is at 00 (as recited in independent claims 1 and 4). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Due to the lack of explanation on how the 3D dither algorithm when the 2-bit LSBs is at 00; how the algorithm defines or renders and operation to the lower rowtemptemp and upper row; and how the five operations rendered by the algorithm as defined in the specification yield to the rendition

Art Unit: 2628

5

of the six operations in repeated sequential fashion (as proposed in independent claims 1 and 4), the ordinary skilled in the art given the original disclosure at the time of the invention would not be able to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Thus, the Applicant is required to clarify these issues for the record and/or to specifically point to portion of the disclosure that enable the ordinary skilled in the art to perform the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 2-3 and 5-6 contain the problems of claims 1 and 4, by dependence, they are, therefore, rejected under the same rationale as claims 1 and 4.

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Correa (US 20020190931) discloses a method for processing video picture data for display on a display device by using a 3 bit-dithering algorithm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajous Wesner whose telephone number is 571-272-7791. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:15-6:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on 571-272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,522 Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sajous Wesner
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2628

36

ü

WS 5/2/107